

**PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT  
ABANDONED UNINTENTIONALLY UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b)**

Docket No.

A7790

First named inventor: Prakash CHAKRAVARTHI

Group Art Unit: 2154

Application Number: 09/904,860

Examiner: Jungwon Chang

Filed: July 16, 2001

Title: INTELLIGENT RADIO DESIGN FOR SATELLITE GATEWAYS AND TERRESTRIAL BASE STATIONS

Attention: Office of Petitions

**MAIL STOP PETITION**

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450,

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

FAX: (703) 872-9306

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a timely and proper reply to a notice or action by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. The date of abandonment is the day after the expiration date of the period set for reply in the Office notice or action plus any extensions of time actually obtained.

**APPLICANT HEREBY PETITIONS FOR REVIVAL OF THIS APPLICATION**

NOTE: A grantable petition requires the following items:

1. Petition fee
  - ☐ Small entity - fee \$ (37 C.F.R. § 1.17(m)). Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.27.
  - ☒ Other than small entity - fee \$1,500.00 (37 C.F.R. § 1.17(m)).
2. Reply and/or fee
  - A. The reply and/or fee to the above-noted Office action in the form of the Issue Fee (identify type of reply):
    - ☐ has been filed previously on .
    - ☒ is enclosed herewith.
  - B. The issue fee of \$1,700.00.
    - ☐ has been paid previously on .
    - ☒ is enclosed herewith.
3. Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee
  - ☐ Since this utility/plant application was filed on or after June 8, 1995, no terminal disclaimer is required.
  - ☐ A terminal disclaimer (and disclaimer fee (37 C.F.R. § 1.20(d)) of \$ for a small entity or \$ for other than a small entity) disclaiming the required period of time is enclosed herewith (see PTO/SB/63).
4. STATEMENT: [NOTE: The United States Patent and Trademark Office may require additional information if there is a question as to whether either the abandonment or the delay in filing a petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b) was unintentional (MPEP § 711.03(c), subsections (III)(C) and (D))].
  - ☒ The entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the required reply until the filing of a grantable petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b) was unintentional.
  - ☐ See Attached Statement.

November 29, 2006

Date

Signature

Alan J. Kasper

25,426

Telephone

Typed or printed name

Reg. No.

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER

- Enclosures: ☒ Fee(s) Payment  
☐ Reply  
☐ Terminal Disclaimer  
☐ Additional sheets containing statements establishing unintentional delay  
☐